

NND15520845R
Amended RFP (03/12/15) Questions and Answers
04/02/15

Question 1: Paragraph E.6 (a) lists two appendices, a Management Plan, and a Phase-In Plan. There is no content described for these two plans. Are they to comply with DRD 01 and DRD 05 respectively?

Answer 1: Yes

Question 2: Paragraph E.6 (a) indicates a 25 page limit for the Phase-In Plan. Paragraph E.6 (b) indicates that the Phase-In Plan is excluded from page count. Can NASA please clarify?

Answer 2: The Phase-In Plan is excluded from the Technical Volume page count.

Question 3: Considering the Base period is 13.5 months and the performance period of Option Year 4 is 01 Oct 2019 through 17 Jul 2020, should Option Year 4 be 9.5 months (vs. 9 months) for a total of 60 months?

Answer 3: Option Year 4 is 9.5 months.

Question 4: The solicitation states that the "Offeror should provide information on problems encountered during the performance of previous contracts, identification and explanation of any cost overruns/underruns, completion delays, terminations and the Offeror's corrective actions." If performance ratings are exceptional and no problems were encountered during the performance of previous contracts, would a statement that no problems were encountered and the customer provided the highest performance ratings be sufficient to satisfy the Governments instructions in this area? If past performance contracts were FFP, what is the required response for identification and explanation of any cost overruns/underruns?

Answer 4: Yes; Not Applicable is sufficient.

Question 5: How does the Government determine whether to assign a significant strength for the past performance evaluation of a major subcontractor's contract reference?

Answer 5: Refer to Addendum 52.212-2, Evaluation - Commercial Items